



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,343	09/16/2003	Su-Hsian Yiu Lu	2450-0541P	2066
2292	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			FISCHMANN, BRYAN R	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111230 0110			3618	
		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/662,343	YIU LU, SU-HSIAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bryan Fischmann	3618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION: nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	eptember 2003.				
•		s action is non-final.				
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicat	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> is a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Acknowledgements

1. The preliminary amendment filed 9-16-2003 has been entered.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following:
- A) It is believed that wording would be improved is the word "an" were inserted before the word "adjustable" in the first line of the abstract.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:
- A) The following recited phrases are unclear, awkwardly worded, and/or grammatically incorrect:

Note: The specification is considered replete with instances of awkward and sometimes unclear wording. Therefore, the Examiner cannot guarantee the following is a comprehensive listing of all awkward and unclear wording. Applicant is advised to review the specification for awkward and unclear wording.

- 1) It is believed that wording would be improved is the word "an" were inserted after the word "with" on line 4 of page 1.
- 2) To improve wording on line 22 of page 2, it is believed that the word "people" should be substituted with the word "person".
- 3) The last line of page 2 and the first line of page 3 are considered to be awkwardly worded.
- 4) The phrase "adopted a conventional technique" in lines 21 and 22 of page 3 is considered to be awkwardly worded.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

See also lines 23 and 24 of page 3.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign not mentioned in the description: 142. Correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following:

Note: The claims are considered to be replete with objectionable matter.

Therefore, a comprehensive listing of all objectionable matter cannot be guaranteed.

Applicant is advised to review all claims for unclear matter.

- A) It is believed that wording would be improved is the word "an" were inserted before the word "adjustable" in the first line of claim 1.
- B) It is believed that wording would be improved is the word "the" were inserted before the word "adjustable" in the first line of claims 2-8.
- C) The recitation of "to provide sliding function" on lines 5 and 6 of claim 1 is considered unclear.
- D) It is believed that wording would be improved is the word "with" were inserted after the word "mating" on line 9 of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,343 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objection set forth in this Office action.

7. Claims 2-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objection set forth in this Office Action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for Allowance

8. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance of independent claim 1:

Claim 1 recites (as paraphrased – due to claim objections) the limitation of a roller skate with an adjustable longitudinal dimension comprising a truck, a toe cap and a heel cap that have respectively an anchor section and a sliding section located thereunder, the sliding section mating the sliding track; and at least one adjusting bolt located on one side of the truck being depressible to release the anchor section of the toe cap and the heel cap anchored on the truck so that the toe cap and the heel cap are movable on the top section of the truck to adjust the longitudinal dimension of the roller skate. This limitation, in combination with the other limitations of claim 1, were not found in the prior art.

9. The Examiner would like to make an additional comment regarding the reasons for allowance of independent claim 1.

It is noted that the disclosed invention by Applicant and the disclosed invention of Soo, US 6,612,592 contain similarities. However, it is noted that Soo fails to meet the claim 1 limitation of "a toe cap and a heel cap that have respectively an anchor section (123) and a sliding section (16) located thereunder". This recitation would require, at a minimum, that the toe cap have an anchor section located under the toe section and a sliding section located under the heel cap. While Soo teaches a sliding section under the heel cap, the "anchor section" (421) of Soo is located on the heel section.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oliveri, Lee, Yang, Li, Gorza, et al, Soo and Huang – teach adjustable skates

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (703) 306-5955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,343 Page 6

Art Unit: 3618

ç,

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis, can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRYAN FISCHMANN PRIMARY EXAMINED